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II. The CORPORATE UNITED STATES Posing as Government
and it's Counterfeit Constitution

Chapter Fifteen
Progressively One Nation Without God

The Progressive movement in America dawned with the 20th century. Animated by a common dedication to statism (the practice or doctrine of giving a centralized government control over economic planning and policy), and influenced by the (evolution) ideas of Darwin, self-identified Progressives believed that the problems associated with the urban and industrial revolutions required government to assume a more active and powerful role in the lives of citizens. The Progressive movement was espoused with those who did not acknowledge or desire to live in covenant with the Supreme Judge of the World, or the Great Governor of the Universe. As the northern churches lost their Biblical basis and began to absorb Transcendentalist principles of Emerson, Thoreau, and others, Christianity became leavened with a philosophy based upon morality without God. It was based on an optimistic view that man could become good without a personal relationship with God and emanated nothing more than religious hypocrisy. In this era of “ear tickling,” certain religious-type words were cleverly used in political speeches to give appearance of acknowledging God Almighty, however, lacked spiritual “substance.” An intimacy with the Word of God, discernment by His Spirit, and hindsight will reveal a shift in spiritual climate.

In the early 1900s America, via the CORPORATE UNITED STATES, became a secular nation that disclaimed the Creator as the Originator of all human rights, as declared in the Declaration of Independence. This brought about a secularized religion in the North and Providence saw that the South obtained its desire for prosperity which came about through the Federal Reserve Act passed in 1913.

1057 Dr. Stephen E. Jones, The Prophetic History of the United States, (Fridley: God’s Kingdom Ministries, 2006) p. 37
1058 2 Timothy 4:3-4
Professor Paul Moreno, Hillsdale College, the William and Berniece Grewcock Chair in Constitutional history and director of academic programs at the College's Kirby Center, on "Progressivism:"

"Animated by the ideas of historicism and relativism, which dominated the intellectual climate of the 19th century, Progressives argued that truths are contingent on a specific time and context – rather than permanent and enduring for all people and all ages. The principles and institutions of government must change and evolve over time in tandem with social and scientific changes.

Woodrow Wilson echoed these sentiments, declaring, ‘All that Progressives ask or desire is [...] to interpret the Constitution according to the Darwinian principle; all they ask is recognition of the fact that a nation is a living thing and not a machine.’ Rejecting the timeless principles of the Declaration of Independence, Progressives such as Wilson, Teddy Roosevelt, and Supreme Court Justices Louis Brandeis and Oliver Wendell Holmes believed that the Constitution’s arrangement of government, based upon the separation of powers, checks and balances, and federalism, only impeded effective government.

Progressives argued that for a truly just and democratic government, the business of politics – namely, elections – should be separated from the administration of government. Government would be overseen by nonpartisan and therefore politically neutral experts. The president, as the only nationally elected public official, best embodies the will of the whole people. Therefore, he has a legislative mandate to create administrative agencies and government aid programs to improve the lives of citizens." 1060

Proverbs 14:34:

“Righteousness exalteth a nation: but sin is a reproach to any people.”

We must consider the words of wisdom of James Wilson, Signer of the Constitution; U. S. Supreme Court Justice,

"Human law must rest its authority ultimately upon the authority of that law which is divine. . . . Far from being rivals or enemies, religion and law are twin sisters, friends, and mutual assistants. Indeed, these two sciences run into each other.” 1061

Just before the Progressive era manifested, U.S. Supreme Court Justice David Josiah Brewer (1837-1910), had given the court’s opinion in the 1892 case of Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States. In opening he stated:

“Our laws and our institutions must necessarily be based upon and embody the teachings of the Redeemer of mankind. It is impossible that it should be otherwise; and in this sense and to

1060 Hillsdale College, Professor Paul Moreno, the William and Berniece Grewcock Chair in the American Constitution and Associate Professor of History, History 102: American Heritage—From Colonial Settlement to the Reagan Revolution, Week 8 on "Progressivism"

this extent our civilization and our institutions are emphatically Christian. ...This is historically true. From the discovery of this continent to the present hour, there is a single voice making this affirmation.”

Justice Brewer continued in the court’s opinion by citing several facts in historical government beginning with reciting the commission of Christopher Columbus through (then) current times, claiming that the Christian religion is “... part of the common law...not Christianity with an established church...but Christianity with liberty of conscience to all men.”

1 Timothy 1:6-11 ~

...From which some having swerved have turned aside unto vain jangling; Desiring to be teachers of the law; understanding neither what they say, nor whereof they affirm. But we know that the law is good, if a man use it lawfully;

Knowing this, that the law is not made for a righteous man, but for the lawless and disobedient, for the ungodly and for sinners, for unholy and profane, for murderers of fathers and murderers of mothers, for manslayers, For whoremongers, for them that defile themselves with mankind, for menstealers, for liars, for perjured persons, and if there be any other thing that is contrary to sound doctrine; According to the glorious gospel of the blessed God, which was committed to my trust.

In this Progressive era as the 20th Century began, many classrooms started each day with the Pledge of Allegiance, a prayer and a reading from the Bible. Many churches turned their schools over to a State-run educational system. State-run schools continued to teach moral values using the McGuffy Reader1064 with its Bible verses. America had one of the best school systems in the world. It began to change with the Progressive movement.

In 1925, when the newly formed American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) offered to defend any teacher prosecuted under law for teaching the theory of evolution. A young Tennessee science teacher agreed to stand as a defendant to challenge the Tennessee State law.1065 Biblical creation had always been taught throughout America. Teaching the theory of evolution was against the Tennessee State law. While the ACLU lost the case, it set in motion a re-evaluation in the teaching of science. Within four decades the laws were reversed so that teaching Creation is now outlawed while teaching Evolution is mandatory. By 1947 the ACLU was influential in using the court system to change school policy.

1063 Ibid., p. 71
At this same juncture in the Progressive movement, the CORPORATE UNITED STATES began to generate debts via bonds etc., which came due in 1912. With banking and finance monopolized by a consortium of eight families, only four of which resided in the U.S., these families had bought-up and owned the bonds tied to the U.S. debt. Knowing the CORPORATE UNITED STATES was unable to make payment on the debt these families, affiliated in the Luciferian Illuminati organization, made demands of payment. These families are the Goldman Sachs, Rockefellers, Lehmans and Kuhn Loebs of New York; the Rothschilds of Paris and London; the Warburgs of Hamburg; the Lazard of Paris; and the Israel Moses Seifs of Rome. These families settled the debt by receiving payment of ALL of the CORPORATE UNITED STATES' assets AND FOR ALL of the assets of the Treasury of the United States.

In 1913, the CORPORATE UNITED STATES had no funds to carry out the necessary business needs of the government so they went to the same families, asked to borrow money, and were declined. Of particular interest is that the families had foreseen this situation and had, the previous year, finalized the creation of a private corporation by the name “Federal Reserve Bank.” The CORPORATE UNITED STATES then formed a relationship with the Federal Reserve Bank whereby they could transact their business via note rather than with money.

On December 23, 1913, the Federal Reserve Act was passed by de facto Congress and signed into law by Jesuit Coadjutor President Woodrow Wilson in February, 1914. Just five senators passed this Act while the rest were home for the Christmas holiday. President Wilson did not even read this "routine banking bill" and later admitted that it was the greatest mistake of his career.

The following is a quote of de facto President Wilson after signing the Federal Reserve Act into law and as cited at Moneymaster.org, a well-known organization for its non-fiction, historical video documentary that traces the origins of the political power structure that rules our nation and the world today.

“I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized world no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men.”

---

1066 Fritz Springmeier, Bloodlines of the Illuminati, (Spring Arbor Distributors, 1998)
1068 ch. 6, 38 Stat. 251, enacted December 23, 1913, 12 U.S.C. ch. 3
1069 Colonel Edward Mandell House, “Profile of Undercover Jesuit,” vaticanassassinsarchive.com/cemh.doc
The 1913 creation of “the Fed” FUSED THE POWER of the Eight Families TO THE MILITARY AND DIPLOMATIC MIGHT of the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES’ “government.” If their overseas loans went unpaid, the OLIGARCHS could now deploy U.S. Marines to collect the debts. Morgan, Chase and Citibank formed an international lending syndicate.1072

This Act of the de facto Congress created and set-up the Federal Reserve System, the central banking system of the United States, and granted it the legal authority to issue Federal Reserve Notes, now commonly known as the U.S. Dollar, and Federal Reserve Bank Notes as legal tender. The Act created a neo-Babylonian Empire in the modern world and put the American people into financial bondage to strategic bankers of Europe who controlled political leaders through the power of money. Because the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES “government” gave away the right to create money to private banking interests, allowing them to create money out of nothing and loan it to the so-called “government” at interest, the American people are ENSLAVED in debt that is IMPOSSIBLE to satisfy while it continues to grow. There is no logical reason why Congress should not have created the nation’s money itself and spent it into circulation without interest – except for the purpose of another agenda. Instead the nation’s trust has been blindly placed in carnally-minded men who represent private financial interests.1073

The relationship between the CORPORATE UNITED STATES and the Federal Reserve was one actually made between two private corporations, and did not involve government. The Clearfield Doctrine states:

“Governments descend to the level of a mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen... where private corporate commercial paper [Federal Reserve Notes] and securities [checks] is concerned. ... For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government.”1074

What the Clearfield Doctrine is saying is that when private commercial paper is used by corporate government, then Government loses its sovereignty status and becomes no different than a mere private corporation. As such, government (and their COMMERCIAL judicial courts) then become bound by the rules and laws that govern private corporations which means that if they intend to compel an individual to some specific performance based upon its corporate statutes or corporation rules, then the government, like any private corporation, must be the “holder in due course” of a contract or other commercial agreement between it and the one upon whom demands for specific performance are made and further, the government must be willing to enter the contract or commercial agreement into evidence before trying to get the court to enforce its demands, called statutes.1075


1073 Dr. Stephen E. Jones, The Prophetic History of the United States, (Fridley: God’s Kingdom Ministries, 2006) p. 21

1074 Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States, 318 U.S. 363-371 (1942)

That is where most people err in understanding the Federal Reserve Banking System—again it is not part of the CORPORATE UNITED STATES, but is a private corporation doing business with a private corporation. The private contracts that set the whole system up even recognize that if anything therein proposed is found illegal or impossible to perform, it is excluded from the agreements and the remaining elements remain in full force and effect.

The Sixteenth Amendment of the CORPORATE UNITED STATES’ constitution was passed by the de facto (in practice but not necessarily ordained by law) Congress on July 2, 1909 and ratified on February 3, 1913. It states:

“The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several States, and without regard to any census or enumeration.”

This was the first amendment to gain ratification in more than 40 years. The Sixteenth Amendment in effect altered Article I, Section 9 (remember that the CORPORATE UNITED STATES had a counterfeit of the original Constitution for the United States and was now "acting" as the operating "government" in America without the knowledge of the American people) in order to make it possible for (de facto) Congress to implement the modern income tax system. Americans have not failed to complain about their income taxes ever since.1076

Tax protesters challenged the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) tax collection system based on this fact, however when we remember that the CORPORATE UNITED STATES originally created their (counterfeit) constitution by simply drafting it and adopting it, there is no difference between that adoption and this because such is the nature of corporate enactments. Again, for the important sake of notation in separating the fraudulent de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES from the de jure (lawful) American Republic, this Amendment has nothing to do with the original Constitution for the United States. The Supreme Court ruled that the Sixteenth Amendment did nothing that was not already done other than to make plain and clear the right of the United States (CORPORATE UNITED STATES) to tax corporations. That is correct in consideration that the IRS was created under the authority of the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES.

Perhaps it is considered as a memorial to President Abraham Lincoln that the date of his death would be set by the Jesuits to correspond with April 15th as the day all “taxpayers” are required to have made their "annual confessions" by filing their income tax returns with their Internal Revenue Service (IRS).1077

This Sixteenth Amendment appears to work in-hand with the Federal Reserve Act that created a Central Bank that consolidated (the unlawful and unconstitutional) banking practices into a single private banking system through which the American economy and government could be controlled.

and managed from behind the scenes. This is what turned Babylon from a mere “country” into an “empire” in the modern era.\textsuperscript{1078}

On May 13, 1913, the Congress of the \textit{de facto} CORPORATE UNITED STATES, passed its Seventeenth Amendment and having been ratified on April 8, 1913. This Amendment would be a violation of the original Constitution for the United States as it forbids Congress from even discussing the matter of where Senators are elected, which is the subject matter of this Amendment. According to the United States Supreme Court, for Congress to propose such an Amendment they would first have to pass an amendment that gave them the authority to discuss the matter.

The \textit{Constitution for the United States} at Article I Section 3, Clause 1 states:

\begin{quote}
The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, \textit{chosen by the legislature thereof}, for six Years; and each Senator shall have one vote.\textsuperscript{1079}
\end{quote}

The Seventeenth Amendment to the \textit{de facto} CORPORATE UNITED STATES constitution:

\begin{quote}
The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, \textit{elected by the people thereof}, for six years; and each Senator shall have one vote. The electors in each State shall have the qualifications requisite for electors of the most numerous branch of the State legislatures.

When vacancies happen in the representation of any State in the Senate, the executive authority of such State shall issue writs of election to fill such vacancies: Provided, That the legislature of any State may empower the executive thereof to make temporary appointments until the people fill the vacancies by election as the legislature may direct.

This amendment shall not be so construed as to affect the election or term of any Senator chosen before it becomes valid as part of the Constitution.\textsuperscript{1080}
\end{quote}

Each State, regardless of population, gets two seats in the Senate. Each senator’s term lasts six years. In the \textit{de jure} original Constitution for the United States, senators were not elected by the people but were instead chosen by their State legislatures. By the turn of the 20th century, many of the reform-minded citizens of the Progressive Era verbalized widespread accusations that this system of “indirect election” led to corruption in political machines manipulating Senate elections. Some “cunning, ambitious, unprincipled”\textsuperscript{1081} individuals sought to literally buy a seat in the Senate by bribing State legislators. The Seventeenth Amendment, a significant \textit{Progressive Era} reform passed in 1913, allowed for the direct

\textsuperscript{1078} Dr. Stephen E. Jones, \textit{The Prophetic History of the United States}, (Fridley: God’s Kingdom Ministries, 2006) p. 22
\textsuperscript{1079} Cornell University Law School, Legal Information Institute, Article 1, Section 3, \url{http://www.law.cornell.edu/constitution/articleI} (accessed 12/10/2014)
\textsuperscript{1080} Wikipedia, Seventeenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, \url{http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seventeenth_Amendment_to_the_United_States_Constitution} (accessed 12/1/2014)
\textsuperscript{1081} EarlyAmerica.com, George Washington’s \textit{Farewell Address to the People of the United States}, September 17, 1796, point 18, \url{http://www.earlyamerica.com/earlyamerica/milestones/farewell/text.html} (accessed 11/1/2014)
election of senators by the people. The Founding Fathers were Divinely inspired in writing the format and specifics with checks and balances in this sacred (original) government operating document. It is absurd to even suggest that if Senators chosen by their State legislatures could be bought-off, that Senators that are elected (or shall we in actuality say, "elected") in a popular election fueled by money interests and bought and paid-for by campaigns, wouldn’t be immorally motivated as well.

Accordingly, in 1914, the freshman class and all Senators that successfully ran for reelection in 1913 by POPULAR VOTE were seated in the CORPORATE UNITED STATES Senate Corporate-capacity only. As a matter of point for comparison sake, IF this had been the de jure government of the American Republic, their respective seats from their States would be considered to have remained vacant because NEITHER the State Senates NOR the State Governors appointed new Senators to replace them as required by the original de jure Constitution for placement of a national Senator.

In 1917, the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES entered World War I and passed the Trading with the Enemies Act which defined, regulated, and punished trading with enemies, who were then required by that Act to be licensed by the government to do business.

In 1918, de facto President Woodrow Wilson was reelected by the Electoral College, however, we point out again that this event took place within the CORPORATE UNITED STATES. Had it been the de jure (lawful) American Republic, the Electoral College elections would have required vote-confirmation by the original Constitutional properly organized/elected Senate in Congress. With the CORPORATE UNITED STATES, only Corporate Senators participated in the Electoral College vote-confirmation. Therefore, if this were indeed the legitimate original Constitutional government of the American Republic, President Wilson was NOT constitutionally confirmed into office for his second term as President of the United States of America. For the sake of pointing out the two very distinct and separate entities – the de jure American Republic and the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES – we make note of the operational "government" error in law if it indeed had been the American Republic. Having made that point, it’s clear that President Wilson was in fact then seated in the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES Presidential/CEO-capacity. The de jure (lawful) American Republic’s government seats indeed had been vacated and the American people did not seat any de jure Constitutional government officers in this corporate entity.

In 1933, the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES became “bankrupt” as declared by President Roosevelt in various Executive Orders and then forced what was called “a banking holiday” in order to exchange money-backed Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) with “legal tender” Federal Reserve Notes. Accordingly, the Trading with the Enemies Act was adjusted to recognize the people of the United States as enemies of the CORPORATE UNITED STATES.

---

1083 Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111, 6260; Senate Report 93-549, pgs. 187 & 594, 1973
1084 TeamLaw.org, The Federal Reserve Bank Offer as it was presented in 1913, http://www.teamlaw.org/FRB1913.htm (accessed 12/1/2014)
1085 TeamLaw.org, The Federal Reserve Bank Offer as it was compelled in 1933, http://www.teamlaw.org/FRB1933.htm (accessed 12/1/2014)
De facto President Franklin D. Roosevelt, 32nd U.S. President, 32nd degree Freemason, and member of Skull and Bones, as well as the Council on Foreign Relations is known as having achieved the greatest progress for socialism in his administration (1933-1945), particularly with his New Deal program. There was a general consensus amongst Americans that a vast underground horde of communists was working to overthrow the government through subversive means. Following World War II, socialism was equated with communism. Outside of modern history no president has been so criticized as a socialist, communist, and traitor to the American system than Franklin Delanore Roosevelt. Today these same programs are among the nation’s most widely accepted and popular, such as Social Security, mandatory bank-deposit insurance, and regulation of securities sales. FDR had made two powerful statements when he said, "Presidents are selected, not elected," and "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way."

Of numerous treasonous acts having been committed by FDR, a few include:

- On March 9, 1933, the United States was declared as bankrupt by Executive Orders and the governors of the then 48 States pledged the "full faith and credit" of their States, including the citizenry, as collateral for loans of credit from the Federal Reserve System.
- On April 5, 1933 President Franklin D. Roosevelt signed Executive Order 6102, "forbidding the hoarding of gold coin, gold bullion, and gold certificates within the continental United States." Where even the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES constitution enumerates that only gold and silver coin may be used as tender in payment of debts, FDR and "the best Congress that money can buy" now confiscated every gold coin, bar, or certificate and required the American people to turn in their gold to the Federal Government or else they would face a fine of $10,000 or 10 years in jail. The amount of the fine would equate in value to approximately $177,900 in today’s currency. The people were allowed to keep a small amount or some rare coins; those that did give up their gold received about $20/oz. Gold as legal money disappeared in the United States, paving the way for the de facto “government” to engage in near-unconstrained debasement of the currency.

---


1087 Steven Sora, Secret Societies of America’s Elite: From the Knights Templar to Skull and Bones, (Rochester, Destiny Books, 2003), p. 270


• On June 5, 1933, the de facto Congress passed House Joint Resolution (HJR 192).1092 Upon being passed, HJR 192 was immediately implemented to suspend the gold standard and abrogate the gold clause in the de facto CORPORATE UNITED STATES’ constitution. Since that time, Americans have not had ability to lawfully pay a debt or lawfully own anything. The only provision and ability is to tender in transfer of debts, with the debt being perpetual. The suspension of the gold standard, and prohibition against paying debts, removed the substance for the (de facto) constitutional law to operate on, and created a void in law. This substance was replaced with a "public national credit system" where debt is "legal tender" money. The day after President Roosevelt signed the resolution, the treasury offered the public new government securities, minus the traditional "payable in gold" clause. HJR 192 stipulates that one cannot demand a certain form of currency that they want to receive if it is dollar-for-dollar. The Federal Reserve Banking System workbook on bank reserves and deposit expansion, "Modern Money Mechanics,"1094 defines all currency as the American peoples' credit, referred to as "monetized debt."

• In 1921, the de facto federal Sheppard-Towner Maternity Act1095 was passed creating birth "registration" which led to what we now know as the "birth certificate." It was known as the "Maternity Act" and was sold to the American people as a law that would reduce maternal and infant mortality, protect the health of mothers and infants, as well as for other purposes. One of those "other purposes" provided for the establishment of a federal bureau designed to cooperate with State agencies in the overseeing of its operations and expenditures. This can now be seen as the first attempt of "government by appointment," or cooperation of (de facto) State governments to aid the (de facto) Federal Government in usurping the legislative process of the several States as exists today through the federal grant in aid to the States programs.1096 Prior to 1921 the records of births and names of children were entered into family Bibles, as were the records of marriages and deaths. These records were readily accepted by both the family and the law as "official" records. Since 1921, the American people have been registering the births and names of their children with the government of the State in which they are born, even though there is no federal law requiring it. The State claims an interest in every child within its jurisdiction, telling the parents that registering their child's birth through the birth certificate serves as proof that the child was born within territories of the united States, thereby making the child a United States citizen. (A thought-provoking 14th Amendment jurisdiction trick.)

• Since the (de facto) CORPORATE UNITED STATES went bankrupt in 1933, all new money has to be BORROWED into existence. All (de facto) States started issuing serial-numbered,
certificated "warehouse receipts" for births and marriages in order to pledge the people as collateral against those loans and municipal bonds taken out with the Federal Reserve banks. The "full faith and credit" of the American people is said to be that which back the nation's debt. That simply means the American people's ability to labor (work) and pay back that debt.

In order to catalog its laborers, the government needed an efficient, methodical system of tracking its chattel property to that end. Humans today are looked upon merely as resources: "human resources." The people are resources to the government; their birth certificates are a security on the New York Stock Exchange. All birth certificates in America are printed on full-color security paper. At the bottom, there are a series of red numbers printed on the right hand corner of the birth certificate, that reflect a security stock exchange number on the World Stock Exchange, in which the American people are worth money to the International Bank that bought the "corporate government" in the 1930's. The American people have become owned property, or "chattel slaves."

Jesuit Father a/k/a "Colonel" Edward Mandell House (1858-1938) was a powerful American diplomat, politician, and presidential advisor to Woodrow Wilson, commonly known as "Colonel" House, although he had no military experience. He was a highly influential back-stage politician in Texas before becoming a key supporter of the presidential bid of Woodrow Wilson in 1912. Woodrow Wilson had offered Mr. House any Presidential cabinet position he wanted except Secretary of State, however, House declined as he preferred to work in the shadows as the president's most trusted advisor. It was a peculiar role that President Wilson had delegated upon his intimate friend, Col. Edward Mandell House. Colonel House was a peculiar individual. The predominant opinion in Wilson's Washington in those days is suggested by the extraordinary influence House wielded. Never elected to any office, never confirmed by (the de facto) Congress, Colonel House nevertheless exercised more power in America than anyone except the President himself. Wilson once went so far as to say, "Mr. House is my second personality. His thoughts and mine are one."

Edward Mandell House had close contacts with both J.P. Morgan and the old banking families of Europe, including the Rothschild's. It was House who persuaded President Wilson to sign the Federal Reserve Act.
Although House did not hold office, he was Wilson's chief advisor on European politics and diplomacy during World War I (1914-1918) and at the Paris Peace Conference of 1919. In early 1919, House along with Wilson, were part of the five-man American delegation to the high-level negotiations of the League of Nations (which is now equated to the United Nations). The Jesuits' goal in these negotiations for the post WWI League of Nations was an attempt in setting-up a One-World government from which they could control the world. President Wilson had a need to leave the meetings and therefore entrusted House in closing the negotiations. Not long after, Wilson ended his relationship with House and several other top advisors, believing they had deceived and betrayed him in the League of Nations settlement agreements negotiated in Paris. After that time, House never again acted as the chief U.S. delegate, and the intimate relationship between House and Wilson quickly dissolved, never recovering.

Colonel House is accredited in giving a very detailed outline of the plans to be implemented to enslave the American people. In a private meeting with Woodrow Wilson (de facto President 1913 – 1921) he is quoted in the following, of which we exhort careful attention to the details:

"Very soon, every American will be required to register their biological property [that's you and your children] in a national system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a charge back for our fiat paper currency.

Every American will be forced to register or suffer being able to work and earn a living. They will be our chattels [property] and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant [judges in the de facto court system] under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading [Birth Certificates] to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, secured by their pledges.

They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two should figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debts to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges.

This will inevitably reap us huge profits beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor to this fraud, which we will call "Social Insurance." Without realizing it, every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly. The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and we will employ the high

---


1105 Bill Hughes, The Secret Terrorists, (Truth Triumphant Ministries, 2002), p. 48


office [presidency] of our dummy corporation [CORPORATE UNITED STATES] to foment this plot against America.” 

In 1912, House published what is viewed as a strange novel, “Philip Dru, Administrator: A Story of Tomorrow,” which portrays much about the progressive mentality of the period. In this story, Americans had become virtual serfs of the barons of industry and finance. Philip Dru, a brilliant young West Point officer turned social worker and writer, decides to fight against the corrupt and selfish cabal oppressing the masses: “He comes panoplied in justice and with the light of reason in his eyes. He comes as the advocate of equal opportunity, and he comes with the power to enforce his will.” Dru leads the people against the selfish capitalists and their minions, and after a brief bloody, cleansing (civil) war—the last war required before justice prevails forever—he sets out to remake America. He appoints himself dictator, writes a new constitution, and creates a welfare state. Then Dru turns to world affairs, and, together with the leaders of the other powers, establishes a permanent order of peace and justice.

This story, by President Wilson’s proclaimed “second personality,” was darkly prophetic as well as revealing in the occult agenda of the sons of Satan.

- By completing a birth certificate application, “confession” is made by the signer as being a “legal fiction” subject of the CORPORATE UNITED STATES, Fourteenth Amendment citizen, “U.S. citizen” that waves their rights in favor of State-issued privileges. The “legal fiction” is also known as a “strawman” where the living individual is made into a legal “fiction” or corporation using their name in all-capital-letters. There is much to be said on this subject that shocks the conscience of the sinister evil that has been masterminded by evil, misguided men – “cunning, ambitious, unprincipled men.”

- The perversion of sourcing the sacred joining of a man and a woman in marriage into a commercial system of State-issued privileges through “marriage licenses” whereby (de facto) Courts, which are actually private corporations, presume the right to trespass on families and kidnap children. This perverted deception in the world we live in but haven’t known about is basically viewed in legal finance as the merger of two corporations through the (de facto)
State and by legal license, anything produced out of the merger-marriage becomes property of the State.

Appellate Court of Illinois, NO. 5-97-0108 (1997):

"Marriage is a civil contract to which there are three parties-the husband, the wife and the state."

Van Koten v. Van Koten. 154 N.E. 146 (1926):

"...When two people decide to get married, they are required to first procure a license from the State. If they have children of this marriage, they are required by the State to submit their children to certain things, such as school attendance and vaccinations. Furthermore, if at some time in the future the couple decides the marriage is not working, they must petition the State for a divorce. Marriage is a three-party contract between the man, the woman, and the State"


"The State represents the public interest in the institution of marriage."

Linneman, 1 Ill. App. 2d at 50, 116 N.E.2d at 183 (1953):

"This public interest is what allows the State to intervene in certain situations to protect the interests of members of the family. The State is like a silent partner in the family who is not active in the everyday running of the family but becomes active and exercises its power and authority only when necessary to protect some important interest of family life. Taking all of this into consideration, the question no longer is whether the State has an interest or place in disputes such as the one at bar, but it becomes a question of timing and necessity."

Also, this same case law states...

"The state has a wide range of power for limiting parental freedom and authority in things affecting the child’s welfare... In fact, the entire familial relationship involves the State."

The people of God are at risk of losing their posterity, their children, and their “inheritance of the Lord.”


1115 Psalm 127:3
On August 14, 1935, FDR signed into (de facto) law the Social Security Act,\textsuperscript{1116} which was created to provide the CORPORATE UNITED STATES the "excess capital" needed to at least start paying some of the interest IT owed in bankruptcy. Citizens were not given full disclosure when advised they were required by law to enlist with the Social Security program in which the individual was actually used in creating a Trust account with the express purpose of generating Beneficiary funds to the (de facto) United States General Trust Fund (GTF) for the benefit of the CORPORATE UNITED STATES.\textsuperscript{1117}

\textit{Deuteronomy 28: 15 ~}

\textit{But it shall come to pass, if thou wilt not hearken unto the voice of the Lord thy God, to observe to do all his commandments and his statutes which I command thee this day; that all these curses shall come upon thee, and overtake thee...}


\textsuperscript{1117} TeamLaw.org, Team Law, Myth 9: The Social Security Card is: "your Social Security card;" and/or the Social Security number is: "your Social Security Number" \url{http://teamlaw.net/Mythology-CorpUS.htm#SSn=You} (accessed 12/1/2014)